SYLLABUS BUSINESS ASSOCIATIONS (Fall 2025)

PROFESSOR: Robert J. Rhee

CONTACT: Holland Hall # 312C, 352-273-0958, rhee@law.ufl.edu

o If you want to contact me by email, please use the school email address, and <u>not</u> the email through Canvass. Please use <u>my school email</u>. I do not check Canvass on a daily basis, whereas I always get school email on my phone.

CLASSROOM: Holland 355C

CLASS TIME: Monday & Wednesday: 10am to 12pm (2 hours)

OFFICE HOURS: Monday & Wednesday: 9am to 10am, and 1pm to 2pm office

Holland 312C (up to October 22)

 Due to unavoidable personal circumstances, starting from October 27 to the end of the semester, office hours must be through Zoom, and my office hours for the three weeks October 27 through November 14 will be Tuesday, 9am to 11am on Zoom. To compensate for this loss of in-face office hour time, I allotted additional office hour time from August 18 to October 22.

o I am always available for individualized appointments

outside of these regular office hours.

FINAL EXAM: December 3, Wednesday (4.5 hours)

TEXTBOOKS

Robert J. Rhee, LLCs, Partnerships, and Corporations (West 2021)

COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course provides comprehensive coverage of the major business organizations, including general partnerships, limited partnerships, limited liability companies, and corporations. It emphasizes the major differences among these entities and the role of contracting for the rules of internal affairs. Topics include rules dealing with formation, agency, management structures, admissions and dissociations, fiduciary duties, corporate governance, shareholder litigation, and fundamental transactions.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

An understanding of business associations is required to practice business law. Modern business law practice broadly uses the corporate form and noncorporate entities,

including the limited liability company. This course teaches the major business organizations, including general partnerships, limited partnerships, limited liability companies, and corporations.

After completing this course, students should be able to:

- 1. Know and analyze the legal rules of internal affairs, including the distinction between default and mandatory rules, for all major business organizations.
- 2. Consider issues related to advising business organizers and managers with respect to formation and maintenance of business organizations.
- 3. Know the rules and principles of fiduciary duties applicable in different settings and business organizations.
- 4. Know the differences between the corporate form and noncorporate business entities.

REQUIRED READING MATERIALS

Please be sure to register for the Canvas course and have any required materials with you in print or easily accessible electronic form in class. You are responsible for checking your Canvas page and the e-mail connected to the page on a regular basis for any class announcements or adjustments.

COURSE EXPECTATIONS AND GRADING EVALUATIONS

Students will be evaluated based upon on a final exam. Grading will be consistent with College of Law policy. **Your grade will be based on a final exam (90% of the final grade)**. Exam grades are done on a blind basis. All grades are final. There will be no regrading or revisions from me, except to correct any mathematical or clerical errors in computing the final score.

In addition to the final exam, you will have at least 16 unannounced quizzes. Each quiz will have 1 multiple choice question, and may cover past materials or the assigned reading for the day of the quiz. Only the top 10 quiz scores will be counted for a total grade contribution of 10% (1% for each counted quiz). There will be no makeup in light of the fact that only the top 10 quizzes are counted. The lenient grading policy accounts for the fact that you may not be able to attend any given class session due to very good reasons. The reasons for these unannounced quizzes are two: (1) to ensure that students regularly attend class, and (2) to ensure that students are regularly doing the assigned readings. My expectation is that most students will receive a high grade in the quiz component of their grades. If at least 16 quizzes are not given, students will receive full credit for the difference between 16 quizzes and the actual number of quizzes given (e.g., if only 14 quizzes are given, students will receive full credit of 2% for the difference between 16 quizzes and 14 quizzes).

If there are recognized religious holidays on a scheduled class day (Mondays and Wednesdays), please email me by the end of the first week of class (by August 22), and I will make sure that quizzes will not be held during these recognized religious holidays. Otherwise, I cannot guarantee dates on which quizzes will not be held.

FINAL EXAM FORMAT

The final exam will follow UF's "online open-book" policy (remote exam). Your professor has chosen the "Fixed (Pre-scheduled Set Date and/or Time)" option (scheduled for December 3, Wednesday). This is an open book online exam. Students can use their textbooks and any other source including the internet and AI resources such as ChatGTP, with one exception: students **may not** contact any natural person for assistance during this exam (contact means asking a person via phone, text, chat, or any other communication means). This exam must be a solo effort by the student without the assistance from any persons. The final exam may have essay, short answer, and multiple choice questions. Essay questions will be an issue-spotting, analysis, or problem-solving format typically seen in most law school exams. The issues and topics for exam questions will come from the course readings and class discussions. The exam will fairly reflect the work that is done in the course. This means that the best way to prepare for the exam is to do the class readings and to attend class.

Makeup Exam Policy: see https://www.law.ufl.edu/life-at-uf-law/office-of-student-affairs/current-students/forms-applications/exam-delays-accommodations-form.

ACADEMIC HONESTY

Academic honesty and integrity are fundamental values of the University community. Students should be sure that they understand the UF Student Honor Code at http://www.dso.ufl.edu/students.php.

ABA OUT-OF-CLASS HOURS REQUIREMENTS

ABA Standard 310 requires that students devote 120 minutes to out-of-class preparation for every "classroom hour" of in-class instruction. It is anticipated that you will spend approximately 2 hours out of class reading and/or preparing for in class assignments for every 1 hour in class. Outside of class including reading the assigned materials and developing your critical analyses of the materials.

CLASS ATTENDANCE POLICY

Attendance in class is required by both the ABA and the Law School. Attendance will be taken at each class meeting. Students are allowed six (6) absences during the course of the semester. Students are responsible for ensuring that they are not recorded as absent if they come in late. A student who fails to meet the attendance requirement will be dropped from the course. The law school's policy on attendance can be found here.

UF LEVIN COLLEGE OF LAW STANDARD SYLLABUS POLICIES

Other information about UF Levin College of Law policies, including compliance with the UF Honor Code, Grading, Accommodations, Class Recordings, and Course Evaluations can be found at this link: https://ufl.instructure.com/courses/427635/files?preview=98226140.

COURSE SCHEDULE OF TOPICS AND ASSIGNMENTS

This syllabus is offered as a guide to the direction of the course. Our pace will depend in part on the levels of interest and difficulty of each section and is subject to change.

CLASS CANCELATIONS, MAKEUP, AND ONLINE (ZOOM) CLASS SESSIONS

Our last class session is scheduled to be <u>Monday</u>, <u>November 17</u>. This class session is <u>CANCELLED</u>. This class session was necessary because Monday, September 1, is Labor Day holiday. Instead, <u>we will MAKE UP Monday's (Labor Day's) class on FRIDAY</u>, <u>SEPTEMBER 5</u>, <u>at 10am to 12pm on Zoom</u> (this Zoom class will be recorded in the event of a schedule conflict for the students). The operative effect of this cancellation and earlier makeup is that the course ends 5 days earlier for students, which leaves students this additional time to prepare for final exams. In the past, students have appreciated this sort of scheduling change. Other classes may be held on Zoom as announced. All Zoom class sessions will be recorded.

ASSIGNMENTS

IMPORTANT NOTE TO STUDENTS: The syllabus will be continuously updated throughout the course. The average class reading (2-hour class time) is approximately 33 pages (a total of about 870 pages over 26 class sessions). Please read about 15 pages ahead of the current assignment for the class session in the event that a particular class moves faster than anticipated.

Class #	Topics, Issues, and Cases	Text pages
1	 CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW OF BUSINESS ENTITIES Relevance of business entities Differences between corporations and NBEs Fraser v. Major League Soccer, LLC Taxonomy of corporations Taxonomy of NBEs Sources of law and internal affairs Azure Dolphin, LLC v. Barton Heaps v. Nuriche, LLC Problem: Friends Consider a Startup 	1-36
2	 CHAPTER 2: FORMING THE ENTITY Nature and essential attributes of business entities Reynolds v. Lyman State v. Brelvis Consulting LLC Gould v. City of Stamford Attorney engagement In re Murrin Brothers 1885, Ltd. Forming general partnerships Martin v. Peyton Minuit Maid Corp. v. United Foods, Inc. 	37-75
3	 Forming general partnerships Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. v. Enterprise Prod. Partners, L.P. Forming limited liability entities McDonough v. McDonough In re Delaware Sports Complex, LLC Guy Named Moe, LLC v. Chipotle Mexican Grill of Colo., LLC Partnership and operating agreements In re Nantucket Island Assoc. L.P. Unitholders Litig. 	76-111
4	 Contract interpretation principles Issues to consider for NBEs CHAPTER 3: LIABILITY TO THIRD PARTIES Rule of limited liability in corporations Walkovszky v. Carlton ORT Associates v. IBC Services, Inc. Freeman v. Complex Computing Co., Inc. Rule of limited liability in NBEs Gateway Potato Sales v. G.B. Investment Co. 	111-124 125-145

5	 Rule of limited liability in NBEs Alphonse v. Arch Bay Holdings, LLC 	145-181
	Direct liability for one's own conduct	
	o Fifth Third Mortgage Co. v. Kaufman	
	o Cortez v. Bacco Material Handling Group, Inc.	
	Veil piercing in NBEs	
	o Dailey v. Ayers Land Development, LLC	
	o GreenHunter Energy, Inc. v. Western Ecosystems Tech., Inc.	
	o In re Phillips	
		101 100
6	Successor liability	181-190
	o Alsco, Inc. v. Fatty's Bar, LLC	
	Issues to consider for NBEs	
	Problem: Entrepreneurs Think about Liability	
	CHAPTER 4: FINANCE	191-217
	Introduction to equity financing	171 217
	Members and partners	
	Kilpatrick v. White Hall on MS River, LLC	
	o In re Carlisle Etcetera LLC	
	Capital accounts	
	Contributions	
	○ Fox v. I-10 Ltd.	
7	Profit and loss, and distribution Various Autimore Profit Pro	217-246
7	o Kessler v. Antinora	217-246
7	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability	217-246
7	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members 	217-246
7	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities 	217-246
7	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC 	217-246
7	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs 	217-246
7	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC 	217-246
7	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing 	217-246 247-250
7	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY 	
7	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing 	
7	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY 	
	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Theory of agency and its costs CHAPTER 5: AGENCY 	247-250
	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Theory of agency and its costs 	247-250
	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Theory of agency and its costs CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Legal definition of agency and agent 	247-250
	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Theory of agency and its costs CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Legal definition of agency and agent Lang v. Lions Club of Cudahy Wisc., Inc. 	247-250
	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Theory of agency and its costs CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Legal definition of agency and agent Lang v. Lions Club of Cudahy Wisc., Inc. Segal v. Genitrix, LLC 	247-250
	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Theory of agency and its costs CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Legal definition of agency and agent Lang v. Lions Club of Cudahy Wisc., Inc. Segal v. Genitrix, LLC Authority, estoppel, and ratification	247-250
	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Theory of agency and its costs CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Legal definition of agency and agent Lang v. Lions Club of Cudahy Wisc., Inc. Segal v. Genitrix, LLC Authority, estoppel, and ratification Gay Jensen Farms Co. v. Cargill, Inc. 	247-250
	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Theory of agency and its costs CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Legal definition of agency and agent Lang v. Lions Club of Cudahy Wisc., Inc. Segal v. Genitrix, LLC Authority, estoppel, and ratification Gay Jensen Farms Co. v. Cargill, Inc. Principal's liability for agent's contracts and wrongful acts	247-250
	 Kessler v. Antinora Improper distribution and liability Admissions and dissociations of partners and members Interests as securities SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Theory of agency and its costs CHAPTER 5: AGENCY Legal definition of agency and agent Lang v. Lions Club of Cudahy Wisc., Inc. Segal v. Genitrix, LLC Authority, estoppel, and ratification Gay Jensen Farms Co. v. Cargill, Inc. Principal's liability for agent's contracts and wrongful acts Thomas D. Philipsborn Irrevocable Trust v. Avon Capital, LLC 	247-250

9	Agency and limited liabilityProblem: Entrepreneurs Begin Managing	288-292
	 CHAPTER 6: MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE Three basic forms of management Centralized management and corporations Management structures of NBEs Florida R & D Fund Investors, LLC v. Florida BOCA/Deerfield R & D Investors, LLC Voting rights Insurance and indemnification Sandt v. Energy Maintenance Servs. Group I, LLC 	293-328
10	 CHAPTER 6: MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE Insurance and indemnification Trascent Management Consulting, LLC v. Bouri Information rights Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Think Seriously about Governance 	328-340
	 CHAPTER 7: FIDUCIARY DUTY Introduction to fiduciary duties Traditional approach to NBEs Meinhard v. Salmon In re USACafes, L.P. Litigation Red River Wings, Inc. v. Hoot, Inc. In re Sky Harbor Hotel Properties, LLC 	341-368
11	 Traditional approach to NBEs Acorn v. Moncecchi Wilson v. Gandis McConnell v. Hunt Sports Enterprises Delaware approach to contracting for fiduciary duty Bay Center Apartments Owner, LLC v. Emery Bay PKI, LLC Norton v. K-Sea Transp. Partners L.P. 	368-403
12	 Obligation of good faith and fair dealing Gerber v. Enterprise Prods. Hldgs., LLC Dieckman v. Regency General Partner LP Fiduciary duty to creditors CML V, LLC v. Bax Actions by partners and members Fritchel v. White Anglo American Security Funds, L.P. v. S.R. Global International Fund, L.P. 	403-438

13	Actions by partners and members	438-468
13	Saunders v. Briner	450-400
	Marx v. Morris	
	Woodside Properties, LLC v. MKR Development, LLC	
	Issues to consider for NBEs	
	Problem: Entrepreneurs Find a Deal and a Problem	
	1 Toblem. Emeprements find a Dear and a Froblem	
	CHAPTER 8: TRANSFER OF INTEREST AND DISSOCIATION	469-482
	Transfers of interest in corporation	
	Transfers of interest in NBEs	
	o SP Investment Fund III, LLC v. Zell	
	o Bauer v. Blomfield Co./Holden Joint Venture	
	 Northeast Communications of Wisc., Inc. v. CenturyTel, Inc. 	
14	CHAPTER 8: TRANSFER OF INTEREST AND DISSOCIATION	482-522
	Transfers of interest in NBEs	
	o Weddell v. H2O, Inc.	
	o Law v. Zemp	
	o JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. McClure	
	Dissociation	
	 United States v. Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC 	
	o Gelman v. Buehler	
	o Fredericks Peebles & Morgan LLP v. Assam	
15	Dissociation	522-552
	o Congel v. Malfitano	
	○ IE Test, LLC v. Carroll	
	Buy-sell agreements	
	Issues to consider for NBEs	
	Problem: Entrepreneurs Learn that Ownership Is Not Permanent	
	CHADTED O. DISCOLUTION AND MEDGEDS	553-565
	CHAPTER 9: DISSOLUTION AND MERGERS	555-505
	Dissolution Dissolution Dissolution	
	O Dysart v. Dragpipe Saloon, LLC O Halman Talcott O Dysart v. Dragpipe Saloon, LLC	
	○ Haley v. Talcott	
16	CHAPTER 9: DISSOLUTION AND MERGERS	565-599
	• Dissolution	
	Styslinger v. Brewster Park, LLC	
	In re Carlisle Etcetera LLC	
	Beaudry v. Harding	
	Guenther v. Ryerson	
	Mergers and conversions	
	Corwin v. KKR Financial Holdings LLC	
	Allison v. Eriksson	
		1

17	 Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Go Bigtime, Maybe	599-604
	CHAPTER 10: INTRODUCTION TO CORPORATIONS	605-632
	Corporations in society	000 002
	 Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission Major differences between NBEs and corporations 	
	Why corporationsDelaware corporation law	
	CHAPTER 11: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	633-640
	Board of directors	
	Cinerama, Inc. v. Technicolor, Inc.Joy v. North	
18	Board of directors	640-664
	 Shlensky v. Wrigley Board of directors	
	Kamin v. American Express Co.Officers	
	Shareholders	
	Corporate governanceBayer v. Beran	
19	CHAPTER 12: DUTY OF CARE	665-694
	Care, risk-taking and business judgment	000-074
	 In re Citigroup Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation Duty to be informed 	
	Smith v. Van GorkomExculpation	
	 Aiding and abetting breach of duties 	
	o RBC Capital Markets, LLC v. Jervis	
20	CHAPTER 13: DUTY OF LOYALTYSelf-dealing and conflict of interest transactions	695-731
	o Benihana of Tokyo, Inc. v. Benihana, Inc.	
	 Gantler v. Stephens Self-dealing and conflict of interest transactions 	
	 Broz v. Cellular Information Systems, Inc. Bad faith 	
	 In re Walt Disney Co. Derivative Litigation In re Caremark International Inc. Derivative Litigation 	
	 Stone v. Ritter 	

21	 Bad faith In re Citigroup International Inc. Derivative Litigation Marchand v. Barnhill In re Massey Energy Co. Derivative Litigation Controlling shareholders Sinclair Oil Corp. v. Levien Weinberger v. UOP Inc. Solomon v. Pathe Communications Corp. 	731-762
22	 Controlling shareholders Kahn v. Lynch Communications System, Inc. Kahn v. M & F Worldwide Corp. CHAPTER 14: SHAREHOLDER LITIGATION Information rights 	762-793
	 Seinfeld v. Verizon Communications, Inc. Direct and derivative suits Tooley v. Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette, Inc. Plaintiff standing in derivative actions In re Fuqua Industries, Inc. Shareholder Litigation Lambrecht v. O'Neal 	
23	 Demand and demand futility in derivative actions Do not read Aronson v. Lewis on pages 796-802 (this case will be covered by professor's lecture) Read instead United Food and Commercial Workers Union v. Zuckerberg (provided on Canvass) Cede & Co. v. Technicolor, Inc. In re eBay, Inc. Shareholder Litigation 	793-795 802-812
24	 Demand and demand futility in derivative actions Beam ex rel. Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia v. Stewart Kahn v. M & F Worldwide Corp. 	812-822
	 CHAPTER 15: ISSUES IN MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS M&A basics Bove v. Community Hotel Corp. v. Newport, R.I. 	823-837
25	 Entrenchment and defenses Unocal Corp. v. Mesa Petroleum Co. Revlon and its progeny Revlon, Inc. v. MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc. Paramount Communications, Inc. v. Time Inc. Paramount Communications, Inc. v. QVC Network 	837-865
26	 Revlon and its progeny Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. v. Airgas, Inc. 	865-873