Media Law LAW 6841, Class Nos. 23407 & 27904

Mondays & Tuesdays, 9:00 – 10:25 a.m. Holland Hall 180 & Online Spring 2021 Prof. Clay Calvert

Office: 2060 Weimer Hall (College of Journalism & Communications) **Office Hours**: Tuesdays, 12:00 – 3:00 p.m. in Zoom Meeting Room

https://ufl.zoom.us/j/6574440922

E-mail: <u>ccalvert@jou.ufl.edu</u> (please generally email rather than call)

Phone: Cell = (512) 906-9226 (call only if a true emergency)

Twitter: @ProfClayCalvert

Course Description:

This course focuses on bodies of law — constitutional, common and statutory — affecting the gathering and dissemination of information by the media. Topics covered include: 1) First Amendment doctrines and standards of scrutiny as they affect the media, speech and press; 2) theories for protecting speech and the press; 3) defamation; 4) privacy (public disclosure of private facts and intrusion into seclusion); 5) liability for emotional, physical and economic harms caused by the media; 6) newsroom searches and subpoenas; 7) media access to information and locations; and 8) comparing the regulation of print, broadcasting and cable. Attention is given early to regulating speech on new technologies and to possibly adapting First Amendment theories and doctrines to deal with these technologies.

Learning Outcomes:

Learning outcomes include understanding and being able to explain and apply: 1) key theories and rationales for protecting speech and the press under the First Amendment; 2) important First Amendment doctrines and rules that affect the media, speech and press, as well as being able to understand strengths and weaknesses of those doctrines and rules; 3) the elements, defenses and privileges of multiple torts including, but not limited to, defamation, intentional infliction of emotional distress, intrusion into seclusion and public disclosure of private facts; and 4) cases (including their names, facts, holdings and the rules/doctrines associated with them) affecting media law and/or the First Amendment. Additionally, students should also be able to demonstrate the ability to understand the interactions and intersections between First Amendment jurisprudence and tort law affecting the media. Furthermore, students should be able to propose, explain and defend potential changes in both First Amendment doctrines and torts that affect the media.

Required Casebook:

MARC A. FRANKLIN ET AL., MEDIA LAW: CASES AND MATERIALS (9th ed. 2016).

Other Materials:

Other materials including, but not limited to, edited versions of additional cases, are posted in the "Files" folder on the Canvas websites for the course (there is one website for the in-person section and one website for the online section).

Attendance:

Attendance is required and will be taken online on a website each day where you will enter a code that you will be given in class. It is a violation of the course rules and the UF Law Honor Code to falsely indicate that you were present in class or to assist a classmate in such misrepresentation. Six or more unexcused absences may adversely affect one's grade and may result in being ineligible to sit for the exam at the end of the semester.

If you miss a class, you are responsible for obtaining notes from a fellow student who attended class. Please be on time.

Class Preparation:

You should expect to spend, on average, approximately two hours preparing for every hour of in-class time. That means you should spend at least six hours a week preparing outside of class for this three-credit class.

I will randomly call on students in class. You should be prepared and ready to discuss the materials from the reading in a thoughtful and informed manner.

Covid Policies:

Many students will have face-to-face instructional sessions to accomplish the student learning objectives of this course. In response to COVID-19, the following policies and requirements are in place to maintain your learning environment and to enhance the safety of our in-classroom interactions. I may take noncompliance into account when grading students or determining if a student may remain in the course.

• You are required to wear approved face coverings at all times during class and within buildings. Following and enforcing these policies and requirements are all of our responsibility. Failure to do so will lead to a report to the Office of Student Conduct and Conflict Resolution. You also will no longer be permitted on the UF

Law campus. Finally, Dean Inman will also report your noncompliance to the relevant state board of bar examiners.

- This course has been assigned a physical classroom with enough capacity to maintain physical distancing (6 feet between individuals) requirements. Please utilize designated seats and maintain appropriate spacing between students. Please do not move desks or stations.
- Sanitizing supplies are available in the classroom if you wish to wipe down your desks prior to sitting down and at the end of the class.
- Be mindful of how to properly enter and exit the classroom. Practice physical distancing to the extent possible when entering and exiting the classroom.
- If you are experiencing COVID-19 symptoms (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/symptoms-testing/symptoms.html), please do not come to campus or, if you are already on campus, please immediately leave campus. Please use the UF Health screening system and follow the instructions about when you are able to return to campus. https://coronavirus.ufhealth.org/screen-test-protect/covid-19-exposure-and-symptoms-who-do-i- call-if/.
- Course materials will be provided to you with an excused absence, and you will be given a reasonable amount of time to make up work. https://catalog.ufl.edu/UGRD/academic-regulations/attendance-policies/.

Laptops:

Electronic devices may be used during class only for purposes of note taking for this class and/or outlining for this class. Electronic devices may not be used for any other purposes. You will be asked to leave the classroom if you use them for other purposes.

Recording Devices:

Use of recording devices (audio and/or video) is not permitted in the classroom without my prior permission.

Academic Honesty:

Academic honesty and integrity are fundamental values of the University community. Students are bound by the UF Law Honor Code, which can be found at the following link:

https://www.law.ufl.edu/life-at-uf-law/office-of-student-affairs/additional-information/honor-code-and-committee/honor-code

Exam, Grading Information and Grading Scale:

Your final course grade will be based primarily on a three-hour final examination that will be open-notes, open-books format.

The exam is currently scheduled for Friday, April 23, 2021, at 8:30 a.m.

As tentatively planned, the exam will involve from two to four essay questions, each of which might have subparts. This format is tentative and is subject to change. Additionally, the length of time to complete the exam may change.

Your grade is subject to modification based upon course attendance as described above.

The Levin College of Law's mean requirement applies to this elective class. The following chart describes the specific letter grade/grade point equivalent in place:

Letter Grade	Point Equivalent
A (Excellent)	4.0
A-	3.67
B+	3.33
В	3.0
B-	2.67
C+	2.33
C (Satisfactory)	2.0
C-	1.67
D+	1.33
D (Poor)	1.0
D-	0.67
E (Failure)	0.0

Accommodations:

Students requesting accommodation for disabilities must first register with the Disability Resource Center:

- https://disability.ufl.edu/students/
- https://disability.ufl.edu/students/get-started/

Once registered, students will receive an accommodation letter that must be presented to the Assistant Dean for Student Affairs when requesting accommodations. Students with disabilities should follow this procedure as early as possible in the semester.

Evaluations:

Your voluntary feedback on the quality of instruction in this course is very important and can be completed online at:

• https://gatorevals.aa.ufl.edu

Evaluations are typically open during the last two or three weeks of the semester. Your feedback is very important to me. Thank you very much in advance for taking the time to do this!

Online Section - Zoom Meetings Scheduled in Canvas:

All of the Zoom class meetings for the semester have been scheduled on Canvas. You will find the links there. You will need to use your **ufl.edu** account to enter.

Addendum:

I reserve the right to make reasonable changes to the reading schedule, exam format and course policies at any time during the semester.

Reading Assignments for the Course:

Below are the reading assignments for the course. All readings should be done before coming to class, and you should be prepared to thoughtfully and thoroughly discuss the readings in class. I will call on people, so please be ready. I reserve the right to modify the assignments from time to time, and to utilize additional handouts, overheads and other materials. Some assignments are from the required casebook and some are posted on Canvas.

Reading Assignments

Week No. 1 (Jan. 19): The First Amendment & Theories for Protecting Speech

• Tuesday: Casebook pp. 3-19. In addition to the early history of the First Amendment, pay particular attention to the discussion of the various values and purposes served by protecting free speech.

Week No. 2 (Jan. 25 – 26): New Media, Old Doctrines

• Monday: Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association [Brown is in the "Files" folder on Canvas]

• Tuesday: Packingham v. North Carolina +
Knight First Amendment Institute v. Trump
[Both cases are in the "Files" folder on Canvas]

Week No. 3 (Feb. 1 - 2): Distinguishing Content-Based Laws
From Content-Neutral Laws &
Toward a Medium-Specific First
Amendment Jurisprudence

Monday: Casebook pp. 166 (start at bottom quarter of page) – pp. 168 (through the top third of the page): Focus on three cases:
 United States v. O'Brien, Arkansas Writers Project v. Ragland and Reed v. Town of Gilbert. +
 People v. Austin [Austin is in the "Files" folder on Canvas]

• Tuesday: Casebook pp. 87 - 93 (focus on Tornillo) + pp. 123 - 130 (top third of the page (focus on $Red\ Lion\ Broadcasting$)

Week No. 4 (Feb. 8 – 9): Toward a Medium-Specific First
Amendment Jurisprudence Continued &
on to Prior Restraints on Speech

• Monday: Casebook pp. 158 – top two-thirds of 166 (focus on *Turner Broad*.)

• Tuesday: Casebook pp. 29 – top two-thirds of 46 (focus on Blackstone's writings, Patterson v. Colorado, Near v. Minnesota, Tory v. Cochran, Alexander v. United States, the Pentagon Papers Case (New York Times v. U.S.) and the Progressive Case (U.S. v. Progressive)).

Week No. 5 (Feb. 15 – 16): Fair Trial Concerns in Gagging the Press,
Parties & Attorneys; Then on to Stopping the
Press from Publishing Lawfully Obtained Facts

• Monday: Casebook pp. 46 – 63 (focus on Nebraska Press Ass'n v. Stuart, Beaufort Cnty Bd. of Educ. v. Beaufort Cnty and Gentile v. State Bar of Nevada)

• Tuesday: Casebook pp. 80-84 (Smith v. Daily Mail), pp. 315-324 (Cox v. Cohn and Florida Star v. B.J.F.) and pp. 469-476 (Bartnicki v. Vopper).

Week No. 6 (Feb. 22 – 23): Access to Judicial Proceedings & Access to Law Enforcement Searches and Recording Information and Police

• Monday: Casebook pp. 637 – 659 (focus on, among other things, Gannett Co. v. DePasquale, Richmond Newspapers v. Virginia, Globe Newspaper v. Superior Court, Press-Enterprise I, U.S. v. King, U.S. v. Dinkins, Press Enterprise II and Leigh v. Salazar).

Tuesday: Casebook pp. 464 – 469 middle of page (focus on, among other things, Berger v. Hanlon, Wilson v. Layne and laws regarding wiretapping and recording of conversations) +
 Casebook pp. 480 (starting with "Citizen Journalism and Police Encounters") – 482 (first two-thirds of the page through Foster v. Svenson) (focus on, among other things, ACLU v. Alvarez, Glik v. Cunniffe, Gericke v. Weare Police Dep't, Crawford v. Gieger and Foster v. Svenson).

Week No. 7 (Mar. 1 – 2): Misc. First Amendment Issues Affecting the Press

• Monday: Review & Catch-Up Day – No New Reading

• Tuesday: Casebook pp. 94 – 100 top of page (Simon & Schuster Inc. v. New York State Crime Victims Bd.) + pp. 371 – 375 top third of page (Cohen v. Cowles Media Co.) + pp. 555 – 558 (newsroom searches, Zurcher v. Stanford Daily and Privacy Protection Act of 1980).

Week No. 8 (Mar. 8 – 9): Public Disclosure of Private Facts

• Monday: Casebook pp. 295 – 312 (focus on, among other things, the Warren & Brandeis law journal article, the Restatement (Second) of Torts § 652D definition (and elements) of the public disclosure tort, Haynes v. Alfred Knopf, Inc., Benz v. Washington Newspaper Publishing Co., Diaz v. Oakland Tribune, the notes discussing how newsworthiness should be defined, Sipple v. Chronicle Publishing Co., and the "Privacy Rights of Survivors" cases of Reid v. Pierce County, Catsouras v. Dep't of California Highway Patrol, Marsh v. County of San Diego and National Archives and Records Administration v. Favish).

• Tuesday: Continue with discussion of reading from above

Week No. 9 (Mar. 15 – 16): Intrusion into Seclusion and Trespass

• Monday: Casebook pp. 443 – 463 (focus on, among other things, the Restatement (Second) of Torts § 652B definition (and elements) of the intrusion tort, Shulman v. Group W. Productions, Inc., Sanders v. ABC, Inc., Galella v. Onassis, California's antipaparazzi legislation and Florida Publishing Co. v. Fletcher in the trespass section).

• Tuesday: Continue with discussion of reading from above

Week No. 10 (Mar. 22 – 23): Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress & Media Liability for Physical Harm

• Monday: Casebook pp. 355 – 370 (focus on, among other things, the Restatement (Second) of Torts § 46 definition (and elements) of the tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress, Hustler Mag. Inc. v. Falwell, Snyder v. Phelps, Citizen Publishing Co. v. Miller and Esposito-Hilder v. SFX Broad.) + Armstrong v. H&C Communications [the Armstrong case is in the "Files" folder on Canvas] + Hustler ad parody that sparked the Falwell case

[the ad parody is in the "Files" folder on Canvas]

• Tuesday: Casebook p. 71 (the paragraph on *Brandenburg v. Ohio*) + pp. 378 – 388 (through the top third of the page. Focus on, among other things, *Herceg v. Hustler Magazine, Inc., Weirum v. RKO*, and *Olivia N. v. National Broadcasting Co.*) + "Orgasm of Death" article from *Herceg* [in the "Files" folder]

Week No. 11 (Mar. 29 – 30): Defamation Law

• Monday: Casebook pp. 185 – 203 (stop at "Fault"). In addition to the two cases excerpted in this span of pages (the cases of *MacElree v. Philadelphia Newspapers, Inc.* and *Matherson v. Marchello*), all of the textual material before and after the cases (including but not limited to the "Notes and Questions") is very important, as it addresses many of the elements, rules and requirements of defamation law. In other words, please be sure to read all of the material carefully, not simply the two excerpted cases.

• Tuesday: Casebook pp. 203 – 214 (top of page). The case of *New York Times Co.*v. *Sullivan* is the most important defamation case in terms of extending First Amendment protection to the press when reporting on public officials and their official conduct; we will spend nearly all of the class period on it. +

"Heed Their Rising Voices" ad from *Sullivan* [in the "Files" folder]

Week No. 12 (Apr. 5 – 6): Defamation Law Continued

• Monday: Casebook pp. 214 – 223 (top of page). There are many cases discussed here, each of which relates in some way to actual malice – its extension to public-figure plaintiffs, its meaning (i.e., what reckless disregard means and what is evidence of it), when a knowingly altered quote becomes false for purposes of actual malice, and standards of proof related to actual malice. All of this is important. Although there is a not a large excerpted case in this span of pages, you should pay attention to all of the case mentioned.

• Tuesday: Casebook pp. 223 (top of page) -237 (top third of page). The case of Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc. is probably the second most important defamation case in terms of the relationship between state libel law and the First Amendment. We will spend most of the class period discussing it, as well as the notes that follow it through and including the discussion of Dun & Bradstreet v. Greenmoss Builders.

Week No. 13 (Apr. 12 – 13): Defamation Law Continued

• Monday: Casebook pp. 237 (top third of page) – 253 (middle of page). This material relates to sorting out who is a public official, who is a public figure, who is a private figure, and who has the burden of proving truth/falsity. Pay particular attention to the following cases:

Rosenblatt v. Baer; Monitor Patriot Co. v. Roy; Time, Inc. v. Firestone; Wolston v. Readers Digest Association; Hutchinson v. Proxmire; Waldbaum v. Fairchild Publications; WFAA-TV, Inc. v. McLemore; and Philadelphia Newspapers, Inc. v. Hepps.

• Tuesday: Casebook pp. 255-261 (top third of page). Pay particular attention to the cases of $Ollman\ v.\ Evans$ (including the four factors articulated in that case) and $Milkovich\ v.\ Lorain\ Journal\ Co.$ on the question of fact v. opinion in defamation law.

Casebook pp. 278 (bottom of page) -285 (middle of page). Pay particular attention to $Salzano\ v.\ North\ Jersey\ Media\ Group.$

Casebook pp. 287 (bottom of page) -288 (bottom of page). Please read the brief unit on "Retraction."

Casebook pp. 291 (bottom of page) - 293 (through top two-thirds of the page). Please read the brief unit on "SLAPP Motions."

10

Week No. 14 (Apr. 19): Catch-Up Day

• Monday: No Reading: We will use this day to catch up on material.